2650 AEGON CENTER | 400 WEST MARKET STREET | LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY (502) 568-9100 PHONE | (502) 568-5700 FAX | WWW.SKP.COM

C. KENT HATFIELD 502-568-5745 hatfield@skp.com

January 19, 2005



Amy E. Dougherty, Esq. Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

RE: Petition to Establish Docket to Consider Amendments to Interconnection

Agreements Resulting From Change of Law, Kentucky Broadband Act

PSC 2004-00501

## Dear Amy:

On behalf of Cinergy Communications Company, this responds to the letter of January 10, 2005 of Dorothy J. Chambers, Esquire, General Counsel/Kentucky of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., with respect to the status and scheduling of the above-captioned matter.

As Ms. Chambers' letter relates, she and I and other counsel in the case have had communications regarding Cinergy's request to extend until January 27 the date to file comments in this matter, as well as for a brief postponement of the informal conference now scheduled for January 27. These matters were discussed in our telephone conference with you on January 7. Ms. Chambers' letter raises the further issue of simply canceling the informal conference and establishing a briefing schedule.

With respect to the filing of comments, Cinergy is filing its comments today and will not need the extension previously discussed. Since the other CLECs who may participate in this case, Aero Communications and SouthEast Telephone, may be anticipating an extension of the due date based on the earlier discussions, Cinergy requests those parties be given until January 27 as previously discussed to file their comments. Ms. Chambers' letter indicates no objection by BellSouth to this request.

With respect to the informal conference, Cinergy continues to have an unavoidable conflict on January 27 and requests the Commission to reschedule this conference at the earliest convenient date for the Commission staff and parties. Ms. Chambers' letter mentions February

LEXINGTON | LOUISVILLE | FRANKFORT | HENDERSON

Amy E. Dougherty, Esq. January 19, 2005 Page 2

15, 16, 17, 22, and 24 as dates which are convenient for BellSouth. All of those dates are also acceptable to Cinergy. As set forth in Cinergy's comments being filed today, Cinergy believes that this proceeding should be broadened to include all change of law issues relating to the interconnection agreements in question, or alternatively, consolidated with the broader generic case, established by the Commission in Docket No. 2004-00427. Cinergy would be happy to discuss these procedural issues, as well as any other matter which may be raised by Commission staff at the rescheduled informal conference.

To the extent the Commission may wish or need to consider this letter a motion for extension of the respective dates as set forth above, please consider this letter as a motion so requesting. Please acknowledge receipt of this filing by placing your file-stamp on the extra copy of this letter and return to me in the enclosed, self-addressed, postage-paid envelope.

Should you have any question about Cinergy's request, please advise. Thank you for your assistance. Sincerely, C. Levot Halfull

C. Kent Hatfield

Counsel for Cinergy Communications Company

Cc: Parties of Record